

Smart And Green ACC, Safety and efficiency for a longitudinal driving assistance

Sebastien Glaser(1), Sagar Akhegaonkar(2), Olivier Orfila(1), Lydie Nouveliere(1), Frederic Holzmann(2), Volker Scheuch (2)

1. LIVIC (Laboratory on Interactions Vehicles-Infrastructure- Drivers), a research unit of IFSTTAR, Batiment 824, 14, Route de la Miniere, 78000 Versailles, France (phone: +33 1 40 43 29 08; e-mail: firstname.lastname@ifsttar.fr).

2. INTEDIS GmbH & Co. KG, Max-Mengeringhausen-Strae 5, 97084 Wuerzburg - Germany (phone: +49 (0) 931 6602-35740; e-mail: firstname.lastname@intedis.com).

Abstract

Driving Assistances aim at enhancing the driver safety and the comfort. Nowadays, the consumption is also a major criterion which must be integrated in the driving assistances. Then, we propose to redefine the behavior of an ACC with energy efficiency consideration to perform a Smart and Green ACC. We apply our development to the specific use case of the electric vehicle that allows regenerative braking. The ACC, once activated, operates under two possible modes (speed control and headway spacing control). We define the behavior of the driving assistance under these both possible modes, focusing on the distance control. We present the efficiency of various strategies without trading off safety. We conclude on the efficiency by presenting several use cases that show the SAGA behavior.

1 Introduction

Daily traffic congestion or long trip on a highway are issues that the driver must face during his driving experience. However, these tasks may generate anger, stress or drowsiness. In a situation where the driver kept a constant clearance for a long time, and suddenly facing braking, his reaction time is higher and may lead to a collision. Automation, and automated driving seem to be one possible answer to these problems, by delegating partly or totally the driving task. Many projects during the 80's and 90's, have proved the feasibility of automated and autonomous (without driver interaction) driving systems. Eureka Prometheus project in Europe, or the US National Automated Highway System consortium conducted experiments on real road of automated driving or platoon. Even if the concepts were not fully adapted by car manufacturers, current vehicles benefit greatly from these research. Since 10 years, the driving assistances are booming. However these driving assistances present two major drawbacks:

- The optimization process behind the driving assistance aims only at maximizing the safety and/or the comfort of the considered vehicle,
- In order to work, the driving assistance relies only on the perception systems embedded in the vehicle: they are autonomous systems.

In today situation, the energy consumption is one of the major topic for the car users: electric vehicles still have a limited range and for conventional vehicles, the oil price has skyrocketed and the greenhouse gas emissions must be reduced. The consumption criterion must be taken into account in the definition of a driving assistance.

Moreover, communication devices and navigation devices become popular. In a vehicle, we can consider that we have access to these systems to exchange data with other vehicles and with the infrastructure. The driving assistance systems are now cooperative and the driving assistance systems can sense the environment behind the vehicle sensors range.

In the eFuture project, we focus on the shared control between the vehicle automated systems and the driver for electric vehicles. The driving assistance we propose, the Smart and Green ACC (SAGA), derives from a standard ACC (Adaptive Cruise Control). It aims at optimizing the common criteria and also the energy consumption. Moreover, the required variables come from the vehicle sensors and from a digital map which includes information on the upcoming road.

With an Adaptive Cruise Control system, the driver delegates the longitudinal control task. When the system is active, the vehicle speed is controlled automatically either to maintain a given clearance to a forward vehicle, or to maintain the driver desired speed, whichever is lower. Since 1997, car manufacturers propose this system on their high-end cars. However, research is still active. Researchers aim at evaluating the impact of the ACC on traffic, under congested situation [1] or with improved strategies [2]. They also extend the range of possible speeds, driver comfort, safety or road capacity [3], [4]. In 2006, the introduction of a vehicle to vehicle communication (cooperation ACC, C-ACC) allows to decrease drastically the clearance to a forward vehicle [5] and also to create stable vehicle platoon. The evaluation of the C-ACC [6], [7] shows promising results on road capacity and safety. An ISO standard now defines the intended performance of the ACC [8].

In the following, we develop the Smart and Green ACC function. In the next section, we define the function, the notations and the consumption model. Section three explains the two problems: speed control and distance control. This last point, being the main issue, as it means to handle both consumption and safety criteria, will be developed in section four. The section five presents simulation results. In the last section, we conclude on this work.

1 Problem definition

1.1 Adaptive Cruise Control

When an ACC system operates, the function is either in a speed control or distance control mode (**Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.**). In the first case, there is no vehicle in front of the considered vehicle or in the distance of sensing. The vehicle aims at reaching a driver's desired speed. In the second case, a vehicle is in front of our vehicle. The system aims at maintaining a clearance defined by the driver, as soon as the lead vehicle speed is lower than the driver's desired speed.




Fig. 1. ACC architecture and generic use case

The general variables are represented on the Figure 1, along with the Table 1, for the description and units.

Table 1. ACC related variables

<i>Variables</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Units</i>
d	Clearance to the lead vehicle	m
V, V_d	Speed of the ego vehicle, driver desired speed	m/s
γ	Acceleration of the ego vehicle	m/s^2
T, T_d	Time Headway ($T = d/V$), driver desired time headway	s
V_i	Speed of the lead vehicle	m/s
γ_i	Acceleration of the lead vehicle	m/s^2
ΔV	Relative Speed ($\Delta V = V_i - V$)	m/s

Moreover, [8] also defines the operating range of the driving assistance. The assistance cannot be activated below a given speed V_{min} , which must be higher than 7m/s. The average automatic deceleration of ACC systems shall not exceed $3.5m/s^2$, while the acceleration is limited to $2m/s^2$. the average rate of change of an automatic deceleration (jerk) shall not exceed $2.5m/s^3$. The ISO standard also defines the minimal performance of the perception system according to the possible value of the speed and of the time gap.

Then, we have to define the behavior of the SAGA function for these two modes: Speed Control and Distance Control.

1.2 Consumption and efficiency model

The consumption model that we define here, is based on the evaluation of the torque needed, at each of the two motorized wheel, to overcome resisting forces and generate the desired acceleration. It could be defined as:

$$T = \frac{R_w}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho S C_x V^2 + M g C_{rr} + M G \sin \phi_r + M \gamma \right) \quad [1]$$

where R_w is the wheel radius, the air volumetric mass, $S C_x$ the air drag coefficient, V the current vehicle speed, M the vehicle's mass, C_{rr} the rolling resistance coefficient, ϕ_r the slope and γ the vehicle acceleration. The engine speed, supposing without sliding, is $w_e = V/R_w$. According with the torque definition, we evaluate the kinetic energy and the electric energy that is either consumed or regenerated during a period of time dt , depending on the value of the torque, in the table II.

Table 2. Energy definition for the consumption model

<i>Energy</i>	<i>Consumption</i>	<i>Regeneration</i>
Mechanic	$E_m = 1/\eta_g T_e w_e dt$	$E_m = \eta_g T_e w_e dt$
Electric	$E_m = 1/\eta_b E_m \eta(T_e, w_e)$	$E_m = \eta_b E_m \eta(T_e, w_e)$

where η_g, η_b, η are respectively the efficiency of the transmission, the battery and the motor. This last parameter depends on the torque demand and on the motor speed.

Using previous equations, we can then define the specific regenerative braking area for a given electric motor associated with the regenerated power. A real characteristic of regenerative deceleration is presented on the **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.** It is obtained from the first prototype of eFuture project. At low speed, the regenerative braking is not high. The main reasons are technological choices and to avoid that a regenerative braking leads to a blocked wheel. However, at low speed, the energy that could be regenerated is low, because of the low speed of the motor.




Fig. 2. Regenerative braking area for an electric motor

The regenerative braking area could be easily approximated by the following function :

$$\gamma_d(V) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{dec_1} & : V < V_1 \\ \frac{A}{V} & : V \geq V_1 \end{cases} \quad [2]$$

where A is a negative constant, γ_{dec_1} is the maximal deceleration below a given speed V_1 .

2 SAGA function

As described in the previous section, our SAGA function must cope with two operating domain. The first one corresponds to the speed control case, where the system must follow a driver's desired speed. The second one deals with the problem of distance control with a lead vehicle. The system must regulate the speed to maintain a constant clearance expressed as a driver desired headway time.

2.1 SAGA speed control function

In this operating mode, there is not many safety related issues considering the interaction with the other road users, as, by definition, SAGA system operates in this mode when no vehicle is detected in front of our vehicle.

The main idea is then to supervise the conventional behavior of the ACC by defining a speed profile that includes the regenerative braking limitation and safety issues using a digital map to provide the needed data: legal speed limit if lower, can override the driver desired speed; approaching an intersection, the system automatically decreases the speed limit; using the curvature and slope information, a speed profile is defined to safely pass the curve. In this case, we extend the speed

profile computation defined in [9,10] with the deceleration limitation of the regenerative braking described previously.

2.2 SAGA distance control function

The distance control function is far more critical as it directly deals with the interaction between vehicles. The objective of the ACC is to regulate the error on the clearance e_d ($e_d = d - T_d V$) around 0. Depending on the sensors used to measure the distance, the algorithm may be more robust by integrating the error on the relative speed ΔV . The resulting acceleration is a function of this two errors, which is limited by the definition of the ACC. However, the regenerative braking is often below this threshold: with only a regenerative braking, we cannot ensure the same safety level of an ACC if we use the same strategy. **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.** shows the safety domain of a conventional ACC and an ACC which uses a regenerative braking. In this figure, we suppose that a front vehicle has a constant speed of 20m/s and that the driver sets the time headway at 2s.




Fig. 3. Conventional ACC and regenerative braking safety domain

The objective is then to regulate the acceleration of our vehicle to reach the point $(40m, 20m/s)$. We can define a limit curve as being the points (d, v) which allow to reach this point with a given braking capacity. The points below this curve are in a safe area. As the regenerative braking is lower than the conventional ACC, the safe area is smaller. If we want to keep the performance in term of speed, the sensing range must be greater, at the opposite, if we want to keep the same performance on the sensors, then the maximal speed must be smaller. In the following, we suppose that we keep a constant maximal sensing distance and that we limit the maximal speed.

3 SAGA and distance control problem

We must handle two specific situations for distance control:

- We approach a slow vehicle, starting at a given distance and speed in the safe domain
- We follow a vehicle with an error e_d at zero. The lead vehicle starts to decelerate with a deceleration that is greater than the possible deceleration.

For the first problem (see **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.**), we can suppose that the vehicle can either maintain a constant speed until it reaches the limit of the safe area, then decelerate at the regenerative deceleration (red path). Or that the vehicle starts to decelerate early to reach a speed that is slightly higher than the lead vehicle, and then it decelerates slowly (orange path). Between these two extrema, we can tune the SAGA strategy.




Fig. 4. Variation of the safe domain with a deceleration of the lead vehicle

The main drawback of the second strategy is that the gap between the two vehicles diminishes slowly. This situation allows a third vehicle to cut in our lane, leading to possible strong deceleration of our vehicle. If we follow the first strategy, and that the lead vehicle starts to decelerate, we may go out of the safe domain. For instance, if the front vehicle decelerates to 17m/s (green curve in **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.**), our trajectory may quickly go out of the safe domain, losing the regenerative capacity, while the other strategy allows us to remain in the safe domain. Therefore, we need to define a tradeoff between these two strategies.

For the second problem, we need, at least, to achieve at least the same safety than a conventional ACC. For instance, we consider the following use case: a lead

vehicle that drives at 30m/s, our vehicle is at the same speed and the time headway is set at 2s. The lead vehicle decelerates with a given deceleration γ_i up to a speed of 7 m/s. The ACC system can achieve the deceleration without any collision for a large variation of the lead vehicle deceleration, even if the time headway drops to very low value (0.6 s for a considered deceleration of -8 m/s^2). **Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.** represents the clearance when the vehicle reaches the same speed than the lead vehicle. Even for a very strong deceleration, the vehicle does not collide.




Fig. 5. Final clearance as a function of the deceleration of the lead vehicle

Using the regenerative deceleration only, it is not possible to obtain the same safety level than a conventional ACC with the same use case (initial time headway of 2 s, speed of 30 m/s and final speed of the lead vehicle of 7 m/s). The possible solutions are:

- To increase the minimal time headway that is defined by the driver. However, to obtain the same safety, we need to increase the minimal time headway up to 5s. The resulting distance is hardly achievable as the sensor range is limited and other road user may cut in the space between vehicles.
- To switch to conventional braking if the time headway drops below a given threshold. For instance, we can maintain a collision free system, as for a conventional ACC, with an initial time headway of 3.7s and an activation of a stronger braking at a threshold on the time headway of 1.5s
- To switch to an emergency braking if the deceleration of the lead vehicle and the distance drops below given thresholds. If the emergency braking can generate a deceleration of -6m/s^2 when the time to collision (differ-

ence of distance divided by the difference of speed) is below 2s, we can set the minimal time headway at 3s.

As we want to use only the ACC system, then we choose the second option. However, we do not evaluate the acceptability by the user of the resulting clearance.

4 Simulation results

In the following, we develop two different use cases to present the efficiency of the system. In a first scenario, our vehicle drives at the driver desired speed and it approaches a slow vehicle. The second scenario shows the reaction on a cut in situation. The new target first decelerates slowly to increase the clearance with the previous lead vehicle, then accelerates to reach the traffic flow speed.

In the following, the ACC aims to regulate the distance at an headway of 2s, the SAGA parameter is at 3.5s. For SAGA, we use the conventional ACC if the headway drops below 1.2s, and we start to control the vehicle with a 20% longer distance.

4.1 Approaching a slow vehicle




Fig. 6. Approaching a vehicle, comparison of SAGA and ACC outputs on speed and state of charge

In this scenario, we suppose that the lead vehicle is at 150m in front of our vehicle. The lead vehicle's speed is constant and equal to 20m/s. SAGA takes into account this front vehicle very early and decelerates slowly to reach the same speed and the correct clearance. The clearance decreases slowly and the complete maneuver takes more than 12s. For the ACC, the same result is achieved in 8s. During this maneuver, the State of Charge (SoC) increases of 0.07kw for the SAGA, while it decreases of 0.05kw for the ACC.

4.2 Vehicle cut in




Fig. 7. Evolution of the time headway and the SoC during a cut in use case

In this use case, we suppose that our vehicle follows a lead vehicle at a speed of 20m/s with a time headway of 3.5s. At $t=1$ s, a vehicle inserts at a distance of 20m in front of our vehicle. Its speed is 20m/s and it decreases slowly to 18m/s in order to increase its gap with the lead vehicle. At $t=1$ s, our vehicle starts to decelerate, first with a regenerative deceleration, but as the new lead vehicle may be dangerous, the system shift to a conventional ACC, without regenerative capacity. During this deceleration, the vehicle's speed drops to 12.7m/s. In the last phase the vehicle has to accelerate to reach the lead vehicle speed and the driver desired time headway. The system manages this dangerous situation without any collision.

5 Conclusion

In this article, we have presented the Smart and Green ACC, namely SAGA. This application enhances the conventional ACC for electric vehicle with regenerative capacity. The main aim of the application is to deliver, at least, the same level of safety than a conventional ACC, and to integrate a consumption criteria. Given the motor specification, and the possible deceleration, the tradeoff is to increase the clearance and to replace the regenerative deceleration with a conventional braking if the clearance drops below a low value. Moreover, the deceleration has to start early to allow a regenerative braking when the vehicle approach a slower vehicle. This behavior could maintain a large clearance for a long time, allowing a third vehicle to cut in the gap. On daily situation, the driving assistance shows to be efficient, increasing or at least maintaining the state of charge of the battery. We demonstrate the efficiency and the safety of our application on two use cases.

However, the behavior of the driving assistance has a huge impact on the acceptability: increased clearance, long deceleration and resulting smaller time headway, which need to be evaluated under the user point of view.

6 References

- [1] Marsden G., McDonald M., Brackstone M., Marsden G., Towards an understanding of adaptive cruise control, *Transportation Research Part C* 9 (2001) 33-51
- [2] Kesting A., Trieber M., Schonhof M., Helbing D., Extending adaptive cruise control to adaptive driving strategies, *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the transportation research board*, N2000, 2007, pp 16-24
- [3] Vahidi A., Eskandarian A., Research advances in intelligent collision avoidance and adaptive cruise control, *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 4(3), 143-153.
- [4] Moon S., Moon I., Yi K., Design, tuning, and evaluation of a full-range adaptive cruise control system with collision avoidance, *Control Engineering Practice* (2009)442-455
- [5] Naus G., Vugts R., Ploeg J., van de Molengraft R., Steinbuch M., Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control, *IEEE Automotive Engineering Symposium Eindhoven, The Netherlands, April 6, 2009*
- [6] Van Arem B., Van Driel C.J.G. and Visser R., The impact of cooperative adaptive cruise control on traffic flow characteristics, *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 429-436, Dec. 2006
- [7] Nowakowski C, Shladover S.E., Cody D. et al., Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control: Testing Drivers Choices of Following Distances, *UCB-ITS-PRR-2010-39*
- [8] ISO 15622:2010, Intelligent transport system, Adaptive Cruise Control system, performance requirements and test procedures
- [9] Glaser S., Aguilera V., Vehicle Infrastructure Driver Speed Profile : Towards the next Generation of Curve Warning Systems, In *Proc. of the 10th ITS World Congress, Madrid, Spain, nov. 2003.*
- [10] Aguilera V., Glaser S., Von Arnim A., An advanced Driver Speed Assistance in Curves : risk function, cooperation modes, system architecture and experimental Validation. In *Proc. of the IEEE Intelligent Vehicle Symposium, Las Vegas, 2005.*

7 Full Author information

Sebastien GLASER
LIVIC, 14 route de la minière
Bat 824
78000 Versailles
France
E-mail: Sebastien.glaser@ifsttar.fr

Sagar Akhegaonkar
INTEDIS GmbH & Co. KG, Max-Mengeringhausen-Strae 5
97084 Wuerzburg
Germany
E-mail: Sagar.Akhegaonkar@intedis.com

Olivier Orfila
LIVIC, 14 route de la minière
Bat 824
78000 Versailles
France
E-mail: olivier.orfila@ifsttar.fr

Lydie Nouveliere
LIVIC, 14 route de la minière
Bat 824
78000 Versailles
France
E-mail: lydie.nouveliere@ifsttar.fr

Frederic Holzmann
INTEDIS GmbH & Co. KG, Max-Mengeringhausen-Strae 5
97084 Wuerzburg
Germany
E-mail: Frederic.Holzmann@intedis.com

Volker Scheuch
INTEDIS GmbH & Co. KG, Max-Mengeringhausen-Strae 5
97084 Wuerzburg
Germany
E-mail: volker.scheuch@intedis.com

8 Keywords

Driving assistance, longitudinal control, energy efficiency